Processo de Planejamento do USACE – Estudo de Caso do Rio Madeira

Dr. Calvin Creech, P.E. Renato Souza Amorim







USACE Planning Process

- 1. Specify Problems and Opportunities
- 2. Inventory and Forecast Conditions
- 3. Formulate Alternative Plans
- 4. Evaluate Effects of Alternative Plans
- 5. Compare Alternative Plans
- 6. Select Recommended Plan



This is always an Iterative Process,

especially as new information becomes available



STEP 1 – Specify Problems and Opportunities

- Planning Charette with Stakeholders
- Objective: Answer the Following Questions:
 - What are the Problems and Opportunities associated with this project?
 - What are the objectives of the project
 - What are the constraints?







STEP 1 – Specify Problems and Opportunities

- Identified Problems:
 - Lack of Aids to Navigation (SAFETY issues and accidents)
 - Rock Outcrops (require navigators to break up tows, leading to increase TRAVEL TIME)
 - Sand shoals in Navigation Channel (requires navigators to light-load and leading to MORE EXPENSIVE navigation)









STEP 1 – Specify Problems and Opportunities

- Opportunities Consist of Defining the Following Characteristics:
 - Maintain a 3.5 m deep Channel
 - Barge Convoy that is 210m x 33 m can Safely Navigate
 - Reliability of 90%
 - Eliminate need to break up tows
 - Reduce Accidents
 - Environmentally and Socially Acceptable (EVTEA investigated this and was not repeated in the current study)





- Completed Tasks for STEP 2:
 - Navigation Statistics Analysis
 - Economic Analysis
 - Hydrologic Analysis
 - Fluvial Geomorphology and Geology Study
 - Hydraulic Modeling
 - Sediment Transport Modeling
- STEP 2 is the Most Technical Task in the USACE Planning Process







Navigation Statistics from 2010 to 2017 on the Madeira River (Economics)







Water Level Conditions on the Madeira River (Hydrology)





	Motorial		2014	2016 (data)	
Site	materiai	Station, km	Original EVTEA	USACE Calculated	
	туре		Volume, m ³	Volume, m ³	
Tamanduá	Sand	1062	231,746	228,200	
Cojubim	Sand	1046	46,235	0	
Mutuns	Sand	1040	64,888	1,100	
Capitari	Rock	1008	331	0	
Curicacas	Sand	973	110,517	211,200	
Pombal	Sand	949	13,484	6,200	
Abelhas	Sand	945	25,591	14,800	
Papagaios	Sand	925	0	32,800	
Ilha das Pupunhas	Sand	818	0	10,000	
Cintra	Sand	788	7,216	62,800	
Três Casas	Sand	746	350,662	55,800	
Ilha Conceição	Sand	703	0	14,000	
Ilha do Miriti	Sand	682	0	80,000	
llha do Itapuru	Sand	664	0	2,400	
Baianos	Rock	635	1,943	0	
llha de Santa Cruz	Sand	589	0	185,700	
Marmelos	Sand	558	235,307	0	
Upstream of Manicoré	Sand	477	0	108,900	
Uruá	Rock	377	0	0	
		TOTAL	1,087,920	1,013,900	

Humaită Calama Prosperidade

Critical Shoals and Volumes (Sand and Rock) within the Navigaiton Channel









Erodible Material in Wide <u>Holocene</u> Valley

Competant (Hard) Material along <u>Pleistocene</u> Bluffs





- Some Conclusions of STEP 2
 - Demand for Madeira River continues to increase
 - Dry Season (August October) leads to shallow conditions, requiring navigators to light-load
 - Low Water Datum Reveals approximately 1,000,000 m³ of material requiring light loading
 - Stability of System provides opportunities for various measures to improve system (dredging, river training structures, rock removal)
 - Dredging quickly fills in (single year benefits)





STEP 3 – Formulate Alternative Plans

- MEASURES: Develop possible solutions at individual sites
- ALTERNATIVE PLANS: A combination of measures for the entire system
- MEASURES Considered:
 - Aids to Navigation
 - Dredging
 - Rock Excavation
 - River Training Structures







STEP 3 – Formulate Alternative Plans

Alternative Name:	3 Training Structures and Rock Removal Alternative						
Reach	1. Navigation Aids	2. Dredging	3. Rock Removal	4. Training Structures			
Tamanduá	Х			X			
Mutuns	Х			X			
Capitari	Х		x				
Curicacas	Х			X			
Pombal	Х		х				
Papagaios	Х	Х					
Ilha Das Pupunahas	Х	Х					
Cintra	Х	Х					
Três Casas	Х	Х					
Ilha Conceição	Х	Х					
Ilha do Miriti	Х	Х					
Ilha do Itapuru	Х	Х					
Baianos	Х		х				
Ilha de Santa Cruz	Х	Х					
Marmelos	Х		х				
Manicoré	Х	Х					
Uruá	Х		х				
Legend:	Permanent Pro	oblems	Roc	ky Reach			

Developed a total of 10 Alternative Plans (one example shown here)





STEP 4 – Evaluate Effects of Alternative Plans

- 10 Total Alternative Plans Developed
- Screened to 6 Plans for Evaluation
 - 1. Do Nothing
 - 2. Dredging and Rock Excavation
 - 3. River Training Structures at all Possible Sites
 - 4. River Training Structures at 3 Sites Closest to the Rock Quarry (upstream)
 - 5. River Training Structures at 4 sites with Highest Volume for Dredging
 - 6. River Training Structures at Tamanduá Only
- STEP 4 requires the second most amount of technical analysis





STEP 4 – Evaluate Effects of Alternative Plans

- Evaluated for:
 - Completeness
 - Cost
 - Travel Time Reduction
 - Environmental Benefits and Impacts
 - Social Benefits and Impacts
- Metrics Defined for Each Criteria

Criteria Name	Metric 1				
Environmental study needed?	(Y/N)				
Safety - life	Reduction in groundings, collisions, and allisions				
	(qualitative)				
Funding Source	Agency				
Environmental Impacts	Licensing Process(low-High)				
	Cost of Environmental Permit				
Implementation Timeline	# of months				
	<pre># of environmental studies</pre>				
Ease of Implementation	# of contracts				
	Complexity of Design				
Operational Impact	# of annualized closure days				
	# Hours increased travel time				
Light Loading Reduction	# days lightloading occurs				
	# capacity used				
When will benefits start?	Year				
How long will benefits occur?	# of years				
How much travel time will be reduced?	Total Trip Time (# of hours)				
Is this plan acceptable society?	(Y/N)				
Level of Outcome Risk	low-med -high ?				
Does this plan compliment the country's	Y/N				
transportation plan?					
Total Cost	Average Annual Cost(\$)				
Initial Cost	Average Estimated Cost(\$)				
Maintenance Cost	Average Annual Cost (\$)				
Reliability	% of time the entire channel is 3.5 M				
Completences	V/N				



STEP 4 – Evaluate Effects of Alternative Plans

Site Name	Tamanduá				
Life Cycle, years	30				
Discount Rate	6.00%				
River Training Structures Data					
Mobilization Cost (\$R)	R\$1,000,000.00				
Structure Volume (m ³)	340,000				
Transport Distance, Road, km	24				
Transport Distance, Waterway, km	19				
Road Transport Cost per m ³ · km	R\$1.21				
Barge Transport Cost per m ³ · km	R\$0.32				
Extraction and Crushing Cost per m ³	R\$75.52				
Truck Load and Remove to Barge Costs per m ³	R\$12.42				
Barge Release Cost per m ³	R\$7.25				
Total Extraction and Handling Costs per m ³	R\$95.19				
Total Capital Costs for River Training Structures	R\$45,305,400.00				
Annual Maintence (% of Capital Cost)	1.50%				
Annual Maintence Costs for RTS	R\$679,581.00				
Dredging Data					
Annual Dredging Volume (m ³)	228.200				
Unit Cost for Dredging (R\$)	R\$16.36				
Annual Dredging Cost (R\$)	R\$3,733,352.00				
River Training Structures Equivalent Annual Cost	R\$3,970,968. <u>99</u>				
Dredging Equivalent Annual Cost	R\$4,004,575.96				

Cost



Environmental

(Velocities)





STEP 5 – Compare Alternative Plans

- Completeness: Screen to 6 alternatives (Do Nothing +5)
- Quantative Comparison Costs

Alt.	Alternative Plan Name	Capital Costs		Annual Maintenence Costs		Annualized Costs		Life-Cycle Costs	
0	Future Without Project Conditions	R\$	-	R\$	-	R\$	-	R\$	-
2	Dredging and Rock Removal	R\$	18,300,700	R\$	24,540,000	R\$	27,652,330	R\$	829,569,907
3	Training Structure Alternative	R\$	305,714,924	R\$	13,943,981	R\$	36,853,648	R\$	1,105,609,438
4	Training Structures at Upper Three Sites	R\$	120,398,700	R\$	17,819,486	R\$	27,749,627	R\$	832,488,814
4b	Training Structures at Upper Three Sites and Santa Cruz	R\$	138,298,900	R\$	15,061,389	R\$	26,072,081	R\$	782,162,429
9	Demonstration Project River Training Structure	R\$	63,606,100	R\$	21,486,229	R\$	27,618,723	R\$	828,561,698





STEP 5 – Compare Alternative Plans

- Qualitative Criteria
- - Worst Condition; Negative; 0 Neutral; + Positive; + + Very Positive

Alt.	Alternative Plan Name	Travel Time	Environ mental Considerations	Social Considerations	Total
0	Future Without Project Conditions		0	0	-2
2	Dredging and Rock Removal	+	+	+	+3
3	Training Structure Alternative	++	+	+	+4
4	Training Structures at Upper Three Sites	+	+	+	+3
4b	Training Structures at Upper Three Sites and Santa Cruz	+	+	+	+3
9	Demonstration Project River Training Structure	+	+	+	+3





STEP 6 – Recommend Alternative Plan

<u>Alternative 4b – River Training Structures at 4 Sites Selected</u>

- Constructing River Training Structures at Tamanduá, Mutuns, Curicacas, and Santa Cruz (total structure volume of approximately 756,000 cubic meters)
- Dredging all remaining sand shoal sites annually (volume of approximately 800,000 cubic meters)
- Rock Excavation at Capitarí, Pombal, Abelhas, Baianos, and Uruá (a volume of approximately 33,300 cubic meters)
- Aids to Navigation along the entire navigation channel





Obrigado!

Perguntas?



