



# Waste and resource management in Germany and EU







O ProteGEEr é um projeto de cooperação técnica entre o Brasil e a Alemanha para promover uma gestão sustentável e integrada dos resíduos sólidos urbanos, articulada com as políticas de proteção do clima.

> www.protegeer.gov.br www.teach4waste.com













#### POR ORDEM DO



Ministério Federal do Ambiente, Proteção da Natu e Segurança Nuclear





## Need for sustainable waste management Driving forces and strategies

### **Driving forces:**

- Resource protection: Positive trends for secondary resources due to increasing costs of primary resources
- Environment protection: Measures against climate change, marine litter



- Avoidance, reuse and recycling
- Energy recovery from waste of non-materialrecycling
- Minimizing/prohibition of landfilling of non pre-treated waste
- Minimizing transport effort by decentralization measures



## Mitigation of GHG emissions in Germany - Sector waste management

#### **Development GHG emissions in Germany 1990 - 2019**





The total effect of waste management measures results from the sum of GHG credits and GHG emissions:

$$CO_{2,eq,total} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Emissions_{i} - \sum_{k=1}^{n} Emissions Credits_{k}$$

GHG mitigation in Germany from the sector of waste management from 1990 up to 2018:

- By GHG emissions 29 Mio. t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/a
  - By GHG credits through recycling and energy recovery 20 Mio. t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/a
  - GHG avoidance through waste management measures 0.61 t CO<sub>2</sub>-eq/person\*a

## GHG emissions in Germany Waste and wastewater treatment

### GHG sources from the sector waste and wastewater treatment in 2018 - without $CO_2$ from biomass use



Source: BMU, 2020

## Peach 4 waste GHG emissions from landfill - Sources



## GHG credits of individual waste management measures as of 2011 and projected for 2030

Waste management	2011 Satus quo	2030 Status quo	2030 optimised
measures	[1,	000 t CO <sub>2</sub> -eq/a]	
Landfill	163	0	0
Waste incineration	-1,691	-14	-2
MBT	-951	-1,246	-5,473
Recycling			
Biowaste	-180*	-180*	2,600*
Greenwaste	-14	61	-183
<ul> <li>Paper and cardboard</li> </ul>	-6,120	-7,457	-9,290
Glass	-1,232	-1,155	-1,155
<ul> <li>Light packages</li> </ul>	-2,100	-2,840	-5,301
Metals	-1,781	-1,842	-1,842
Electronic waste	-764	-764	1,076
Wood (biomass power	-5,060	-3,108	-5,624
plants)			
Ökontalut, 2014, * Own data)	-19.731	-18,188	-32,546

#### **Dependency of credits from the reference system:**

- Depending on which electricity (heat) is substituted, different credits result.
- The lower the emissions from substituted electricity (heat), the lower the credits for electricity (heat) from incineration.
- That means for a decarbonized world, in which the most of the electricity (heat) comes from regenerative energy: Incineration gains less and less credits for electricity (heat)

Electricity	Credits for 1 kWh
Unit	[kg CO <sub>2</sub> -eq]
German electricity mix	0.40
French electricity mix	0.06
Brazilian electricity mix	0.29
Lignite-based electricity	1.22





#### Legal framework





### EU Circular Economy Package 2018 - Plastics Directive 12.2018

**Bans:** From 07-2021 on, disposable, non-returnable plastic products for which alternatives are available, will be banned

Products made of **oxo-degradable plastics** are completely banned.



The EU has identified 10 plastic products that together make up **70 % of Marine Litter ?!** 

(Source: Picture, Verbraucherzentrale NRW)



From 01-2021 on, this is to amount to **80 Euro cents/kg of non-recycled plastic** waste from packaging waste – 800 €/t



Environmental associations demand tax on the marketing of plastic packaging at €2/kg of virgin plastic used

## German new Package Act Depositzt and Minimum of recyclate content

- The German amendment to the Packaging Act extends the mandatory deposit. From January 1, 2022, all non-refillable plastic beverage bottles and beverage cans must have a deposit. Minimum recyclate content for single-use plastic bottles
- From January 1, 2025 at the latest, PET beverage bottles must consist of at least 25% recyclate (= recycled plastic). From January 1, 2030, the proportion will increase to 30% recyclate use in the production of single-use plastic bottles.





#### **Binding recycling rates**

Type of waste	2025 [%]	2030 [%]	2035 [%]
MSW	55	60	65
Packaging	65	70	
Plastic	50	55	
Wood	25	30	
Ferrous metals	70	80	
Aluminium	50	60	
Glass	70	75	
Paper and cardboard	75		

### Recovery of plastics waste - GER reality 2019

Peach 4 waste

Plastics	Amount to recycling	Proportion	Amount of Recycling	Proportion
Unit	[Mg]	[%]	[Mg]	[%]
Fools	152.664	13,5	150.334	98,5
Plastics high purity	193.001	17,1	185.016	95,9
Mixed polyolifines	37.881	3,3	37.166	98,1
Mixed plastics	733.249	64,8	39.044	5,3
3D-plastics	14.328	1,3	13.155	91,8
Plastics total	1.131.123	100	424.715	37,5



#### **Binding recycling targets**

	2022	2035*	2040*
Reduce disposal on landfills to		10 %	10 %*
Prohibition on disposal of untreated waste	Х		

\*Exceptions for certain countries



### Recovery of plastics waste - EU-status 2014



9 countries in Europe reached a recovery ratio of more than 95% of the Post-consumer Plastic

These countries have a landfill

Also 6 of these countries are amongst the 11 countries with the highest recycling rates (>30%).

Therefore "divert from landfill" boosts higher recovery and recycling quantities, also of waste streams, which have not been recovered so far.

0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% )Source: Plastic Europe, https://committee.iso.org/files/live/sites/tc61/files/The%20Plastic%20Industry%20Berlin%20Aug%202016%20-%20Copy.pd)

United Nations Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) estimates:

- Around 1/3 of the food produced for human consumption is lost or thrown away worldwide – app. 1.3 billion t/a
- 11 mill. t of food waste in Germany with a value of 25 billion Euros. Of those, private households produced 6.67 mill. t (61 %)



### EU Circular Economy Package 2018 - Key elements of recycling

### Binding separate collection obligations are strengthened and extended to:

- Hazardous household waste (by end 2022)
- Biowaste (by end 2023)
- Textiles (by end 2025)



(Source: Copyright by Andreas Caspari)





(Source: Nomad\_Soul, Fotolia.com)

### The European Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) is the **central climate change mitigation instrument**



- BIOBASED FUELS -







Waste amount and composition

### Waste composition

International E-Learning Platform Waste and Resource Management

feach 4 waste

### - Relevance for waste management

Waste fraction	Germany [%]	China [%]	Brazil [%]	Thailand [%]	India [%]	Java [%]
Paper/cardboard	15.7	15.0	13.1	7.7	1.5	3.5
Glass	6.4	2.0	2.4	2.0	0.2	1.7
Organic	46.9	63.9	51.4	62.0	75.2	78.5
Plastic	9.8	16.9	13.5	12.0	0.9	2.6
Textiles	4.0	1.4	3		3.1	1.0
Metals	4.6	0.7	2.9	0.5	0.1	
Rests	16.8	3.2	13.7	16.0	19.0	13.7
Water content [%]						
Calorific value [kJ/kg]						

\* Average before separate collection

### Waste composition

International E-Learning Platform Waste and Resource Management

### - Relevance for waste management

Waste fraction	Germany [%]	China [%]	Brazil [%]	Thailand [%]	India [%]	Java [%]
Paper/cardboard	15.7	15.0	13.1	7.7	1.5	3.5
Glass	64.	2.0	2.4	2.0	0.2	1.7
Organic	46.9	63.9	51.4	62.0	75.2	78.5
Plastic	9.8	16.9	13.5	12.0	0.9	2.6
Textiles	4.0	1.4	3		3.1	1.0
Metals	4.6	0.7	2.9	0.5	0.1	
Rests	16,.8	3.2	13.7	16.0	19.0	13.7
Water content [%]	35 – 45	42 - 60	42 - 55	41 - 53	42 - 60	49 - 63
Calorific value [kJ/kg]	8 – 9,000	4 – 7,300	6 - 8,200	4 - 7,500	< 4,000	< 4,000

\* Average before separate collection

Waste with a calorific value lower **3,500 – 4,000 kJ/kg** needs additional fuel for combustion – theoretically. Practically :Minimum **6,000 kJ/kg -** practically

### Peach 4 waste Composition of residual waste in Germany 2018

Waste and Resource Management



### Waste composition - Developments in Germany

Waste components	Development in the past 10 years (UBA, 2018)	Future developments (own data)
Paper	<b>↓</b>	+
Cardboard packaging	<b></b>	
Plastic packaging – fossil basis	<b></b>	
Plastic packaging – biolog. basis		<b>&gt;</b> ?
Tinplate packaging	<b>++</b>	➡
Aluminum packaging	<b></b>	<b></b>
Glass packaging		•
Biowaste	-	-
Diapers	<b></b>	



feach 4 waste

Future effects due to measures taken against marine litter?

## Packaging law (GER) Deposit regulation, valid since 01.2019

Beverage bottle	Deposit
Returnable beer bottles from glass (all sizes)	8 Cent
Returnable beer bottles with clip lock	15 Cent
Returnable mineral water blottle (Glass or PET)	15 Cent*
Returnable bottle for juice or soft drinks	15 Cent
Some 1,0-Liter-Wine bottles	2 or 3 Cent
All disposable bottles or cans	25 Cent

\* in exception also 25 Cent







### Deposits - Return rates and deposit amounts



The return rates are in average over 80 %, in some countries over 95 %

## Return rates Deposit for returnable and disposable bottles





### **Recommendation Brazil:**

### Deposits on packagings e.g.

- beverage packagings
- packaging from online trading

Deposit and returnable systems payed by retail trade or online trading companies



#### **Collection systems** Peach 4 waste - Germany







### Peach 4 waste Collecting rates of different waste fractions

#### **Collecting rates**



\* own data 2020; status 2020 \*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2019 \*\*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2017

### Peach 4 waste Collecting rates of different waste fractions

#### **Collecting rates**



\* own data 2020; status 2020 \*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2019 \*\*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2017



#### Waste composition Braunschweig (GER)



## Bio-waste volucollecting rate - Status 2018/2019

Proportion of biowaste in residual waste	Residual waste quantity	Biow waste in residual waste*	Collection via the organic waste bin	Total potential of biowaste	Collecting rate
[%]	[t/a]	[t/a]	[t/a]	[t/a]	[%]
39.3*	11,097,000*	4,372,000	4,667,878*	0 020 979	52 (48**)
16.3	8,532,976	1,807,976	7,231,902	9,039,878	80

\* UBA, 2020; as of 2019 \*\*Under consideration of impurities

Beach 4 waste

### Peach 4 waste Collecting rates of different waste fractions

#### **Collecting rates**



\* own data 2020; status 2020 \*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2019 \*\*\* UBA, 2020; as of 2017

### Peach 4 waste Sources of impurities and collecting rates

- 90 % of contaminants / impurities are entered via kitchen waste
- 80 % are carried in via approx. 5 % heavily polluted bio bins
- Low collection rates and high levels of contamination originate from problematic socio-urban settlement structures



## **Geach** 4 waste Heavy metal concentrations in waste compost with limiting values (GER, BRA)

[mg/kg DM]	Compost from mixed waste (SCT, 2014)	Compost from separate collection (BGK 2017)	German Biowaste Ordinance	Brazil MAPA (2016)
	Average	Average	20 t/3a application	
Cd	1.4	0.35	1.5	3
Cr	111	44	100	n.v.
Cu	158	55	100	n.v.
Hg	0.3	0.3	1	1
Ni	29	20	50	70
Pb	97	40	150	150
Zn	351	165	400	n.v.



### Development of fermentation in Germany - Push effects

International E-Learning Platform Waste and Resource Managemen



#### Push effects:

- Renewable Energy Act (2000) and further amendments, funding instrument of renewable energies
- KrWG (2012): Mandatory separate collection of biowaste since 2014

Source: Fachverband Biogas, 2018, 2018 data based on forecasts



### **Recommendation Brazil:**

 Starting with decentralised green waste composting

and

- industrial biowaste composting and fermentation
- Check mixed waste composting with special view on heavy metals and micro plastics content



### Peach **4** waste V

International E-Learning Platform Waste and Resource Management

### Waste treatment

- Treatment before landfill
- 66 Waste incineration plants \*
- 46 MBT plants
- 32 RDF plants\*\*



- 20,0 Mill. t cap.
- 4,8 Mill. t cap.
- 6,3 Mill. t cap.



\*Exclusively grate incineration technologies \*\*Exclusively grate and fluidized bed incineration technologies

Pyrolysis and gasification technologies failed in Germany

## General aspects - Use of AF in German cement production

Total mass of solid AF used in German cement production (status quo) Max. possible amount

#### **Proportion of total German waste mass potential:**

2.2 mill t/a (65 % of TSR\*) 3.4 mill t/a (100 % of TSR\*\*)

5.5 %, status quo 8.5 %, max. (theoretical)\*\*





\*Thermal substitution rate. The thermal substitution rate is not a fixed rate. TSR is related to the current operational production capacity (load factor) \*\*Max. technically feasible today approx. 90%

## General aspects - Average thermal substitution rate by AF

Average thermal substitution rate by AF in the

German cement industry



Pushing effects: •

- Legal enforcement of the ban for disposal of untreated waste (2005)
- High level of energy price (benchmark WTI oil)

(Source: VDZ 2017 and own data) • Technological development of the MBT

### Peach 4 waste RDF for Brazilian cement industry

- Naste and Resource Management
- Potential of RDF for Brazilian cement industry 3,5 mill. t per year
- Markets for RDF in the cement industry are available but need to be expanded
- First facilities are available
- Technology adaption is necessary to provide RDF qualities
- More experience in product quality is needed
- Co-financing by revenues from RDF sales (around 100 Reals/t RDF), savings of landfill fees
- Revenues from mixed waste compost are not expected?!
- Self financing is possible?!



### GHG reduction by using alternative fuels in the cement manufacturing, considering landfills





### **Recommendation Brazil:**

- Intensification of measures in RDFproduction for cement industry
- in combination with compost production!?)

### Clobal tendencies

- Increasing of waste amount
- Changing waste composition
- Shortage of resources increasing revenues for secondary resources
- Deposits e.g. for different recyclables and toxic waste like batteries
- Increasing material recycling
- Reduction of calorific value due to increasing material recycling
- Separate collection or sorting!?
- Decline in conventional incineration in favor of AF (RDF) use
- Increasing of anaerobic digestion
- Decline in MBT bevor landfill in favor to produce RDF and fuels
- Competition between material recycling and energy recovery
- Landfill ban for untreated waste
- Landfill mining
- Several new technologies



Prof. Dr.-Ing. Klaus Fricke

Dipl. Ing., RA Christiane Pereira

Dr. Kai Muennich

#### Technische Universität Braunschweig



